

SUMMARY COVID19 TESTING

- The Australian Regulator TGA says the tests are unreliable
<https://www.tga.gov.au/search/node>
- Tests don't distinguish from common colds

The two most common testing methods are reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) and antibody tests. The RT-PCR test was never meant for diagnosing disease and produces a significant number of false positives, which have been used to enforce restrictions on civil liberties, including quarantining healthy individuals. False positives also inflate numbers and lead to aggressive treatment of misdiagnosed patients.

- **The CDC admits there is no proper isolation of the covid19 virus-** that they have no "isolates" of Sars Cov 2. Jon Rappoport's email says

Buried deep in the document, on page 39, in a section titled, "Performance Characteristics," we have this: "Since no quantified virus isolates of the 2019-nCoV are currently available, assays [diagnostic tests] designed for detection of the 2019-nCoV RNA were tested with characterized stocks of in vitro transcribed full length RNA..." <https://blog.nomorefakenews.com/2020/10/08/the-smoking-gun-where-is-the-coronavirus-the-cdc-says-it-isnt-available/>

- **Dr Cowan comments:** "Shockingly, on page 39 of the CDC's July 2020 bulletin, the CDC acknowledges no published "isolates" of the coronavirus are known. Equally shockingly, the authors of the six most important papers on the isolation and characterization of this new virus all publicly and in writing admit that they neither purified nor isolated this virus. At this point, there is simply no evidence this virus exists, let alone causes any disease. "

refer to EXHIBITS – TESTING KITS/ CDC Report

The obsession with testing a healthy population

Testing sewage is a far -fetched attempt to prove a population sick and in need of testing. We are healthy until we say otherwise.

On any test .05 (5%) is a statistical error. By simply taking the test then 5% of people will have a positive result. Daniel Andrews has set his criteria on reopening Victoria on the error rate of the test, if not complete elimination which cannot be statistically possible.

Eradication is not the threshold in other Australian States. Are we not the united states and territories of Australia as one country, or are the states and territories of Australia completely different countries?

Physical dangers of testing with Nasal Swabs

Injury

<https://www.ctvnews.ca/health/coronavirus/woman-with-skull-defect-leaks-cerebrospinal-fluid-from-her-nose-after-a-nasal-swab-covid-19-test-1.5127908>

Death

(India) https://thelogicalindian.com/humaninterest/infant-dies-in-medical-negligence-23063?fbclid=IwAR3BvIID6HkE0J5yg7wteUT_xmHWm_CgIJndcFGooOWgP0rXyQ5fgxotTgU

(Saudia Arabia) <https://envirowatchrangitikei.wordpress.com/2020/07/22/saudi-toddler-dies-after-swab-stick-breaks-inside-his-nose-during-cv-test/>

VICTORIA IS THE ONLY STATE IN AUSTRALIA TO HAVE PURCHASED TESTS FROM BGI, CHINA WITHOUT OPEN TENDER AND WITH FAST TRACKED APPROVAL

Beijing Genomics Institute (BGI) is the Chinese company chosen to provide test kits to Victoria. <https://bgi-australia.com.au/covid-19-updates-1> BGI specialises in making test kits for DNA collection. No other state in Australia has obtained their kits from this company but instead rejected them. Not even the United States of America has selected these kits. BGI test kits were banned from California by the CIA as they were deemed a security threat.

These kits are reported to be some of the most unreliable in the world. BGI was sourced by Minderoo, an Australian company with a history of dealings with China procuring 10 million of these kits in April 2020 at a cost of \$189 million. Mindaroo got an extra \$320 million from the Australian Government for procurement. The Australian Strategic Policy Institute (ASPI) has written reports that BGI is a National Security Threat. BGI has been accused of being an arm of the CCP and building a Genetic database of Western Populations as well as non-Han ethnic Populations. This is ostensibly for genetic offensive weapons programs. BGI has been building this database in Wuhan. <https://www.aspi.org.au/report/genomic-surveillance>

The Victorian government did not tender for the test kits from anywhere else including Australian suppliers, thus not giving any other company the opportunity. The TGA (Therapeutic Goods Administration) made a special legal exemption to grant BGI fast-track access to the Australian market. The Doherty Institute approved the kits by way of fast tracking approval. The Health Minister or CHO or Premier or all of them at the time approved these kits despite objection by the relevant body, PTA (Pathology Technology Australia). PTA filed an audit stating there was "more than enough technology in the field". There were also BGI Proprietary platforms/machines/reagents supplied to "read" the results. The BGI testing kits were flown into Tullamarine Airport (Melbourne) on Chinese Airlines for delivery to Private Pathology Practices in Victoria.

They have been brought in batches, at intervals, since mid-May - just before the Victorian outbreak began.

These testing kits use RT-PCR technology. (Reverse Transcriptase - Polymerase Chain Reaction) testing kits.

Contract tracing of false-positive people creates more testing and more false-positives. **Ref: EXHIBIT TESTING Dr McGregor**

The Doherty Institute in Melbourne has done a post-market validation study of the BGI kits.

Importantly this study was done on select BGI kits in April before the mass importation of kits in mid-May. What did the study find? It found a difference in Specificity (with some as low as 97.5% - even excluding pre-analytical errors) between batches (lots) of the BGL kits. In other words they were dodgy. But they still recommended the BGL kits saying it was within parameters

Prime Minister and Victorian Premier, why were there no tenders made and the decision made to exclude Australian companies? Why was BGI specifically chosen?

Why did the Australian/Victorian governments allow a Chinese - CCP manufacturer to provide primers/probes that Australia can not identify?

<https://www.health.gov.au/.../post-market-validation-of-the-b...>

Patents for Covid19 tests taken out by Richard A Rothschild

Richard A. ROTHSCHILD requested patents to protect the following inventions. This list contains both patent applications pending and patents already granted by the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO).

“COVID-19 testing system and method”

Publication number: 20200279585

Abstract: A method for obtaining and sending biometric data is provided (e.g. Vital functions) of a user, analysing data to determine whether the user suffers from a viral infection, such as COVID-19. The method includes using a pulse oximeter to obtain at least the pulse and blood oxygen saturation rate, which Wirelessly sent to a smartphone. To ensure accuracy of the data, a gear meter in the smartphone is used to measure the movement of the smartphone and / or user. Once accurate data is obtained, they will be uploaded to the cloud (or host), where the data is used (alone or together with other vital functions) to determine whether the user suffers from (or probably suffers from) a viral infection, such as COVID-19. Depending on specific requirements, data, changes to it.