**DATE**

Name
Address

**By email** xxx

Dear X

**COVID 19 VACCINATION**

Thank you for your letter dated xxx in response to my original letter dated xxx, outlining my concerns regarding the experimental covid 19 injection. Both pieces of correspondence are attached to this email for reference should either of us need to obtain formal legal advice or representation.

**Response to my questions**

I note your written response has ignored the majority of my questions regarding the safety and efficacy of the vaccines you are asking me to take. You haven’t provided a workplace Health & Safety risk assessment which shows that you have considered all reasonable risks to staff and patients in having vaccinated and unvaccinated people mixing in the workplace. The risk assessment should also show that you have considered other control measures which include, but are not limited to, wearing a mask, ensuring 1.5m distancing, working from home etc. You have also failed to provide a MSDS or outline how the ‘spike protein’ will affect my body both now and in the future.

You say that my questions 2, 3, 4 and 6 are clinical matters and best discussed with my doctor. My doctor is not suggesting or mandating the covid-19 experimental vaccine. Xx is. The answer to my questions do not constitute medical advice as your letter from 15 November 2021 suggests. However, given that the doctors and medical staff at xxx have been administering the Astra Zeneca vaccine to the public since April 2021, and Pfizer since September 2021, they are perfectly qualified to answer my questions.

Your answer to question one about TGA approval is factually wrong. The covid-19 injection has not had full TGA approval, nor any full regulatory approval globally. COVID-19 vaccines are only provisionally approved in Australia and are therefore under clinical trials and thus are part of a medical and scientific experiment. The long- term safety risks are unknown, and this experimental injection is still in a live clinical trial as confirmed by Federal Health Minister Greg Hunt, with the clinical trial ending in April 2023.[[1]](#footnote-1)

**WA Public Health Directions are not laws**

The implications of mandating this experimental injection are significant in terms of the potential to cause injury or death to employees and the increased liability to employers. Safe Work Australia (SWA) announced that it is unlikely that requiring workers to be vaccinated for COVID-19 will be a reasonably practicable control measure for the purposes of workplace health and safety legislation. At present, there is also no express guidance from the courts or the Fair Work Commission (FWC) on whether requiring employees to be vaccinated is a lawful and reasonable direction. Therefore, your proposed mandate is not confirmed by the courts to be a lawful and reasonable one.[[2]](#footnote-2)

Public Health Legal Directions are not laws, so what is the legal basis that xxx is using to mandate that someone can be forced into a medical experiment without their ability to say no. How is this mandate at all lawful?

**Informed consent**

In the Australian Government’s Immunisation Handbook under Section 2.1.3 Valid Consent[[3]](#footnote-3), it states that for consent to be legally valid “It must be given voluntarily in the absence of undue pressure, coercion or manipulation.”1 The Australian Government has provided a document called ‘Consent form for COVID-19 vaccination’ last updated on 28 October 2021. Nowhere on the form does it even mention ‘participation in a clinical trial’ or even attempts to seek consent to participate in a clinical trial.[[4]](#footnote-4)

Your correspondence dated xxx says that any employee that has not had the first dose of the experimental vaccine by 1 December 2021, will not be permitted to enter Employer name’s premises. This position is exerting economic duress upon me, by forcing me to choose between participating in a clinical trial (experiment), or to lose my job. This is coercion and not permitted under national employment laws. In addition, making a threat to someone (e.g. the loss of a job) unless the person takes part in a medical experiment (which would otherwise entitle a person to give informed consent) amounts to, as a minimum, a criminal offence of deadly assault with a needle and could result in the person making this threat being charged with that criminal offence.

AHPRA makes it very clear that medical practitioners have an obligation to obtain informed consent for treatment, including vaccination. Informed consent is a person’s voluntary decision about health care that is made with knowledge and understanding of the benefits and risks involved. At present, I do not have enough information to make an informed decision. Please see **Attachment 1** which expands on this point further.

**Adverse reactions and reporting gaps to the TGA**

You are proposing to mandate this experimental injection even though it has not had thorough and long-term safety testing and has risks of injury and death. Such injuries and deaths are already documented with the TGA. To date, there has been over 78,000 adverse reactions, and over 600 deaths in Australia. [[5]](#footnote-5) The West Australian Vaccine Safety Board has recognised that many severe adverse reactions have not been reported via the proper channels, which suggests that the safety report on the TGA website is not accurate, which is very concerning. See **Attachment 2** which is a letter from CHO Andrew Robertson outlining the reporting obligations for medical practitioners.

I am very concerned about the side effects of this experimental injection which contains Graphene Oxide amongst many other toxic ingredients. Graphene Oxide is an oxygen sponge which deprives the body of necessary oxygen and causes many complications, including but not limited to anaphylactic shock, toxic blood clotting, fatal lung paralysis, mitochondrial cancer, and endothelial cancer.[[6]](#footnote-6)The risk of severe injury or death is very high. Without a MSDS, I cannot give informed consent.

I hope that the points raised above, and in my letter dated xxx, will form part of your policy to ensure informed consent, with the ability for any employee to say no to this this medical experiment without jeopardising my employment. I await your written response to all questions in my original letter from xxx.

I also look forward to receiving your response that clarifies the actual law you are using to mandate the covid 19 vaccination (not legal directions). If I do decide to take it, as the manufacturers of the injection have no liability whatsoever for any damage they cause, what indemnity or guarantee does xxx offer to compensate me or my family in the event I am injured or die?

Finally, I would like to advise that you are officially on notice. You are now aware of the issues associated with the health guidelines, their legality, the efficacy and safety of the covid 19 vaccine and your lack of duty of care to your employees in ensuring they do not suffer harm through following your instructions in the workplace.

Kind regards

Name

**Attachments**

Attachment 1 – Correspondence from AHPRA

Attachment 2 – Letter from WA CHO Andrew Robertson re adverse reaction reporting gaps.
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